

Sabral 2-19

@ given $|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle$

First prove coherent by showing $a|\lambda\rangle = \lambda|\lambda\rangle$

$a|\lambda\rangle = \exp(-|\lambda|^2/2) a e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle$, and since $[a, e^{\lambda a^\dagger}] = a e^{\lambda a^\dagger} - e^{\lambda a^\dagger} a$ and since $a|0\rangle = 0$, we ignore the second term.

so $a|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} [a, e^{\lambda a^\dagger}] |0\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} [a, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda a^\dagger)^n}{n!}] |0\rangle$
 $= e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} [a, (a^\dagger)^n] |0\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} n (a^\dagger)^{n-1} [a, a^\dagger] |0\rangle$

which is true b/c $[A, B^n] = n B^{n-1} [A, B]$

Now use 2.3.3 to show

$= e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda^n}{n!} n (a^\dagger)^{n-1} \right) |0\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!} (a^\dagger)^{n-1} |0\rangle$
 $= e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (a^\dagger)^{n-1} |0\rangle$

But $n \neq 0$, so we start @ $n=1$, or alternatively, since $n \rightarrow \infty$, just reindex. set $n=1 \rightarrow 0$.

$a|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \lambda \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} (a^\dagger)^n \right) |0\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \lambda e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle$

so $a|\lambda\rangle = \lambda (e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle) = \lambda |\lambda\rangle$. Thus coherent

Now prove normalized: $\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle = 1$

$\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle = \langle 0 | e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} e^{\lambda^* a} e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle$
 $= \mathcal{Z} \langle 0 | \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda^* a)^m}{m!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda a^\dagger)^n}{n!} |0\rangle$ where $\mathcal{Z} = e^{-|\lambda|^2}$
 $= \mathcal{Z} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^*)^m (\lambda)^n \frac{1}{m! n!} \langle 0 | a^m (a^\dagger)^n |0\rangle$

(Now, by 2.3.2) $\sqrt{n!} |n\rangle = (a^\dagger)^n |0\rangle$ also implies $\langle 0 | a^n = \sqrt{n} \langle n |$)

$= \mathcal{Z} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^*)^m \lambda^n \frac{\sqrt{n! m!}}{n! m!} \langle m | n \rangle \Rightarrow m=n$
 $= \mathcal{Z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|\lambda|^2)^n \frac{1}{n!} = \mathcal{Z} e^{|\lambda|^2} = e^{-|\lambda|^2} e^{|\lambda|^2} = 1$

Solution continues on the next page.

④ We seek $\langle (\Delta X)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta P)^2 \rangle$

$\rightarrow \langle (\Delta X)^2 \rangle = \langle X^2 \rangle - \langle X \rangle^2$, using $X = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a + a^\dagger)$

• $\langle X \rangle = \langle \lambda | X | \lambda \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\langle \lambda | a | \lambda \rangle + \langle \lambda | a^\dagger | \lambda \rangle) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\lambda + \lambda^*)$

$\Rightarrow \langle X \rangle^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\lambda + \lambda^*)^2$ ✓

• $\langle X^2 \rangle = \langle \lambda | X X | \lambda \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \langle \lambda | (a + a^\dagger)(a + a^\dagger) | \lambda \rangle$

$= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\langle \lambda | a a | \lambda \rangle + 2 \langle \lambda | a^\dagger a | \lambda \rangle + \langle \lambda | 1 | \lambda \rangle + \langle \lambda | a^\dagger a^\dagger | \lambda \rangle)$

(b/c $a a^\dagger + a^\dagger a = a a^\dagger - a^\dagger a + a^\dagger a + a a^\dagger = [a, a^\dagger] + 2a^\dagger a = 1 + 2a^\dagger a$)

$= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\lambda^2 + \lambda^* + 2\lambda^* \lambda + 1) = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} ((\lambda^* + \lambda)^2 + 1)$ ✓

$\rightarrow \langle (\Delta P)^2 \rangle = \langle P^2 \rangle - \langle P \rangle^2$

• $\langle P \rangle = \langle \lambda | P | \lambda \rangle = i \sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} \langle \lambda | a^\dagger - a | \lambda \rangle$

$= -i \sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}} (\lambda^* - \lambda) \Rightarrow \langle P \rangle^2 = \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2} (\lambda - \lambda^*)^2$ ✓

• $\langle P^2 \rangle = \langle \lambda | P P | \lambda \rangle = \frac{-m\omega\hbar}{2} \langle \lambda | (a^\dagger - a)^2 | \lambda \rangle$

(now $(a^\dagger - a)^2 = a^\dagger a^\dagger + a a - a^\dagger a - a a^\dagger = a^\dagger a^\dagger + a a - \cancel{a^\dagger a} - \cancel{a a^\dagger} - a^\dagger a + a^\dagger a$)

$= a^\dagger a^\dagger + a a - 2a^\dagger a - 1$

$= \frac{-m\omega\hbar}{2} (\lambda^{*2} + \lambda^2 - \lambda^* \lambda - 1) = \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2} (1 - (\lambda^* - \lambda)^2)$ ✓

$\langle (\Delta X)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta P)^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} [(\lambda^* + \lambda)^2 + 1] - (\lambda + \lambda^*)^2 \cdot \frac{\hbar m\omega}{2} [1 - (\lambda^* - \lambda)^2]$

$= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \cdot \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}$ ✓

Minimum Uncertainty Relation.

⑤ given $|\lambda\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n\rangle \langle n|\lambda\rangle$ and $\langle n|\lambda\rangle = \langle n| e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle$
 $\langle n|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \langle n| e^{\lambda a^\dagger} |0\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{Taylor expand}} e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \langle n| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k (a^\dagger)^k}{k!} |0\rangle$
 $= e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \langle n| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k \sqrt{k!}}{k!} |n\rangle$
 so $|\lambda\rangle = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$

By inspection

$f(n) = e^{-|\lambda|^2/2} \frac{\lambda^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \Rightarrow |f(n)|^2 = e^{-(|\lambda|^2)} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{n!}$ ✓

$P = |f(n)|^2 = \exp(-|\lambda|^2) \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{n!}$

which is a Poisson PMF w the parameter of $|\lambda|^2$, and $k \rightarrow n$.

The maximum value of the distro is the only extremum, and the most probable value. We can maximize under the Log function to keep things simple.

$$\ln(P) = -|\lambda|^2 + n \ln(|\lambda|^2) - \ln(n!) = 2 \ln(f(n))$$

$$\text{Maximize: } \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \ln(P) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (-|\lambda|^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \ln(|\lambda|^2) - \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \ln(n!) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \ln|\lambda|^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \ln(n!)$$

Now use Sterling's Approx for large (ish) n . So $\ln(n!) \approx n \ln(n) - n$

$$0 = \ln|\lambda|^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (n \ln(n) - n) = \ln|\lambda|^2 - (\ln(n) + 1 - 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln|\lambda|^2 = \ln(n) \Rightarrow \boxed{n = |\lambda|^2}, \text{ most probable.}$$

Thus the most probable energy is $\hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) = \boxed{E_{\text{min}} = \hbar\omega(|\lambda|^2 + \frac{1}{2})}$

(d) Show that $a(e^{-iPl/\hbar} |0\rangle) = \lambda(e^{-iPl/\hbar} |0\rangle)$, where $\lambda = 0$. \leftarrow
by 2.3.24, we rewrite P : not necessarily can

$$a e^{\frac{\sqrt{m\omega}}{2} (-a + a^\dagger) l/\hbar} |0\rangle = a e^{\gamma(-a + a^\dagger) l} |0\rangle \quad \text{where } \gamma = \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}$$

Now $[a, a^\dagger], a] = [1, a] = a - a = 0$
and $[(a, a^\dagger), a^\dagger] = 0$ similarly, so the condition to use the identity on the assignment sheet holds, and thus,
 $e^{a + a^\dagger} = e^a e^{a^\dagger} e^{\frac{1}{2}[a, a^\dagger]} = e^a e^{a^\dagger} e^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} a e^{\gamma(-a + a^\dagger) l} |0\rangle &= a (e^{-a} e^{a^\dagger} e^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\gamma l} |0\rangle = e^{-2\gamma l/2} a e^{-a\gamma l} e^{\gamma l} |1\rangle \\ &= e^{-\gamma l/2 + \gamma l} a e^{-a\gamma l} |1\rangle = e^{-\gamma l/2 + \gamma l} a e^{-a\gamma l} |0\rangle \\ &= e^{-\gamma l/2} a |0\rangle = e^{-\gamma l/2} (0) = 0 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

So we can get a coherent state by translating the ground state. You should be led to $e^{-iPl/\hbar} |0\rangle = |1\rangle$, or that $a[e^{-iPl/\hbar} |0\rangle] = \lambda[e^{-iPl/\hbar} |0\rangle]$ (9)